UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS:

Felipe "OTEZE" FOWLKES, PLAINTIFF, CIVIL ACTION

VS. #05-CV-11749-JLT

KATHLEEN M. DENNEHY, et., AL. DEFENDANTS.

"DEFINITE STATEMENT ON EACH DEFENDANT"

A. Defendant: Commissioner Kathleen M. Denneby.

1. Since Plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on September 17, 2004, Until January 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on January 2005 until September 2005, and Norfolk Prison on September 2005 until December 2005, and Souza-Baranduski Prison on December 2005 until the present 2007, Commissioner Kathleen M. Dennehy, has implemented, directed, and maintained illegal punitive program policies to punish him for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner Rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such Rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of massem. Laws chptsec. 1997a, and in violation of massem.

establish, maintain, and develop Programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chapt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "ALL Superintendents of the Institutions under the supervision of the Commissioner of D.O.C. treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

- 2. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Reduests, and on August 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #10874, and on December 28, 2005 and July 17, 2006, in response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #13516, deprived and denied plaintiff the right and privilege to smoke cigarrettes and tobacco products outdoors or in A designated area of mass. D.o.C. Prisons in the exercise of his first amendment Religious way of life of the 5%ers Gods and Earths and in Accord with the State Created Right. in Accord with the State created Right.
 - 3. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, and on August 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance# 10874, and on July 28, 2005 and October 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievanc#11434, and on December 28, 2005 and July 26, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Grievance July 26, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Grievance #12006, and on Sept. 8, 2006, in Response to #13886, And ON Sept. 8, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Grievance Numbers 19102 and 20230

And on October 4,2006, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievanice #21061, and on June 1,2007, in Response to plaintiff's Grievanice #26582, deprived and denied plaintiff adequate Food which falls far below both the minimum and average daily requirements of 2000 and 2775 calories and are without required condiments; required variety; and are repetative, repulsive, contaminated by tamperings, and excludes holiday meals even those offered by donations from other public and private sources.

- H. In carring out the illegal punitive program Policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Denneby, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, and on August 3, 2005 and September 26, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Thimate Grievance #10874, and on Jan. 5, 2006 And Feb. 22, 2006, in Response to Plaintiff's Grievance #13514, deprived and denied plaintiff Adequate clothes such as conventional slack-pants with pockets and zippers, Long-sleeve shirts, boots or boot-shoes, sweatshirts, thermols, brief underware, and ski-coats to protect him from inclement North-Eastern weather and to meet rehabilitative standards. The clothing issued to him was and is punitive in Style and designed to punish, degrade and humiliate him and cause him health problems.
- 5. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennety, On Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, and on August 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate

GRIENANCE #10874, AND ON July 28, 2005 AND OCTOBER 19, 2005, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S INMATE GRIENANCE #11331, CLEPRIVED AND CENTED PLAINTIFF A SUBSIDIARY IDLE PAY AS A PORTION OR GIVEN PRISONERS FOR CERTAIN PROGRAM OR WORK ASSIGNMENTS, AND THAT COMMISSIONER DENNISHMENTS THAT THE STATE AND FEDERAL STIPENDS WERE PROVIDED FOR.

- 6. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennethy, on January 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, and on August 3, 2005 and September 26, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's IMMATE GRIEVANCE # 10874, And ON December 28, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #13896, deprived and denied plaintiff Adequate cell space and Subjected him to germs and diseases from other prisoners, and to Racial violence and hatred from other prisoners by double-bunking him in Racially segregated cells.
- IN RESPONSE to PLANNIFFS IMMATE CORTEVANCE#13887, deprived and denied plaintiff Rehabilitation Rights through the ASSOCIATION AND REPRESENTATION OF AN IMMATE ORGANIZATION CALLED THE N.A.A.C.P. PLAINTIFF ALSO STATES that he is put in A Constant fear of violence in Absence of Any Immate organizations.

- The Carrying out the illegal Punitive program Policies in Violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, and on Aug. 3, 2005 and September 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance # 10874, and on Dec. 28, 2005 and Sept. 7, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance #13883, deprived and denied plaintiff's Rights of Rehabilitation through the Association and Representation of an Inmate Organization called the Inmate Liason Committee (ILC). Plaintiff also states that he break of Constant Fear of Violence in absence of any inmate
- 9. In carrying out the illegal punitive program Policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Dec. 17, 2004, Request, and on Aug. 3, 2005 and September 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance 10874, and on Aug. 11, 2005 and Nov. 22, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance 11823, deprived and denied plaintiff eaual protection of the laws under the 14th amendment by failure to prepare and maintain a "master Index system" with a record of prior Immate Grievance decisions by subject matter upon which to base decisions. Its a result, the Coordinators have denied him Rights and privileges that they have allowed other prisoners with whom he is similarily situated as a prisoner with a state created liberty interest in receiving a fair and decision on his Immate grievances. Commissioner Dennehy Also denied

plaintiff the Rights of Rehabilitation by Not allowing Inmate Representatives to participate in the Review, Investigation, and decision making process of his Grievances in the same way impates participate in the Inmate Grievance Program within New York State Department of Correctionals Services.

- 10. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Dec. 17, 2004, Request, and on Aug. 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Immate. Grievance # 10874, and on Jan. 5, 2006 and Feb. 22, 2006, in response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance # 13537. Localistod and Inmate Grievance #13537, deprived and denied plaintiff conjugal visits AS A fundamental Right of Marital privacy in the exercise of his religion of the 5% ers Gods and Earths and the rehabilitation of visits from relatives and triends by transportation afforded by D.O.C. through a family within New York State Docs.
- 11. In CARRYING out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen m. Dennehy, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, and on Aug. 3, 2005 and September 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grienance #10874, deprived and denied plaintiff the Music By mail Program to receive and possess walkman casette players and Cassette Tapes containing Religious 5%ers Gods and Earths music, and educational and Free speech info.

- PROGRAM POLICIES IN VIOLATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS, COMMISSIONER KATHLEEN M. DENNETH, ON AUG. 3, 2005 AND SEPTEMBER 36, 2005, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S TAMATE GRIEVANCE # 10874, AND ON AUG. 11, 2005 AND NOW. 18, 2005, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S TAMATE GRIEVANCE # 11824, deprived AND CHIED PLAINTIFF FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO SUBSCRIBE TO AND RECEIVE THE PORNOGRAPHIC AND PLAYERS WHICH THE 103 CMR 481.15 (2)(9) ALLOWS BECAUSE THEY DO NOT POSE A THREAT TO THE SECURITY, GOOD ORDER OR DISCIPLINE OF THE TASTITUTIONS.
- 13. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, on Aug. 3, 2005 and September 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #10874, and on July 28, 2005 and Oct. 19, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance #11331, discriminated Against plaintiff by depriving and denying him A 13" Color T.V. in his cell due to his poverty while allowing other prisoners with whom he is similarily situated, to have 13" Color T.V.'s in their cells, and would neither Allow him to Receive A 13" color T.V. As a donation from other public and private sources.

14. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, in March 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's March 21, 2005, Request for Placement in a Protective Custody Program and plaintiff's March 3, 2005, Inmate Grienance #8836 which was attached to the march 21, request, and on 12-28-05 in response to Plaintiff's Inmate Grienance #13512, and on Jan. 22, 2007 and march 17, 2007, in response to plaintiff's GRIEVANCE #13512, AND ON JAM. 22, 2007 AND MARCH 17, 2007, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S THIMATE GRIEVANCE #23851, deprived and denied plaintiff placement in a frotective custody frogram and has denied him safety because the state's D.O.C. did. not have A protective Custody frogram at cedar Junction prison between January and December 2005. As A result he was placed in Cedar Junction's Administrative segregation s.m.u. in 10 Block on June 1, 2005, where he was punished by the S.M.u. Restrictions rather than protected. Because of the Punishments, he signed off of the S.m.u. At cedar Junction in July 2005 and entered General Population where he was threatened by Thimates who were allowed to exercise puthority and control over other impates eating at messhall tables, and was threatened by those immates not to eat At Messhall tables and subsequently assaulted by one of the immates with a weapon in the yard and subsequently assaulted by one of the immates with a weapon in the yard and subsequently assaulted by one of the immates with a weapon in the yard and subsequently assaulted by one of the immates with a commissioner Dennehn failed to provide him safety by not having a Protective custody Program at Cedar Junction Prison And denied his Requests And Inmate Grievance for Protection.
Commissioner Dennehy has A duty under M.G.L.c. 124 s. 1(Q) to provide plaintiff with SAFETY.

IN August 2005 Plaintiff WAS threatened by Inmate Joseph Druce And others while in S.M.U. in 9-Block At Cedar JUNCTION At the Request of STAFF/OFFICERS who informed and encouraged "Druce" And other prisoners about plaintiff's conviction charge on a sex offense and REGISTRATION AS A RISK LEVEL '3' SEXUAL offender. Consequently, he was threatened And stigmatized by All the prisoners on that 9-Block Tier, who threw feces, urine, and etc., in Front of his cell and ONE OF them threw A Substance on plaintiff while the officers dropped their protection shellds And Allowed it. The other prisoners had called and labeled plaintiff A child Rapist, Skinner, Snitch, And Nigger, Nigger, Nigger For Several days. All of this occurred because Commissioner Dennethy failed to provide him SAFETY AT CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON by Not having A Protective Custody Program and denied his request for protection.

From Sept. to December 2005, plaintiff was continually punished by the S.M. u. Restrictions Rather than Protected, in the S.M. u. At Norfolk Prison because Commissioner Dennehy failed to provide him safety by not having a Protective

custody fragram at the Norfolk Prison and denied his request for protection.

In December 2005 he was assigned to a protective custody Unit at Souza-Baranowski prison which is not a protective custody program. While in the unit between December PRISON which is not A Protective Custody Program. While in the Unit between Becember 2005 and November 2006, plaintiff was denied sufficient indigent hygienic items (i.e., soaps, tothposte, touthbrush, deadorant, shampoo, razors, shaving cream, shaver slippers, and etc.) Plaintiff was also denied a Prison Program or Job to earn money to purchase items. He was constantly threatened by both Staff and immates due to the nature of his conviction charge on a sex offense and registration as a risk level'3' sex offender of children on the same P/c unit where catholic Priest John Geoghan was murdered by another prisoner named Joseph Druce in 2003 because of his conviction on sex charges. Immates are misclassified and put on the P/c unit at Seccentistion on sex charges. Inmates are misclassified and put on the P/c unit at Seccentistiff meals were targeted and tampered on sex charges. While on the P/c unit plaintiff meals were targeted and tampered with by spit and etc., from Cooks, staff, and Immates. Consequently, Plaintiff was forced into the s.m.u. At Souza-Baranouski in November 2006 where he is Locked-in a cell 24 hours a day and punished by the s.m.u. restrictions rather than protected. Plaintiff requires protected. Plaintiff requires protection from both Staff and inmates in the General Appulations and protective custody units of mass. Do.c. Prisons. All of this has and is occuring to plaintiff

ALL of this has and is occurring to plaintiff because commissioner Denneth Failed to provide him safety by Not having a bond fide protective custody program in accord with her duty to determine and develop programs for the needs of plaintiff. (M.G.L.c.124 sec.16) (FIGURIE)

15. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, in July 2005, promoted Gang and Racial violence towards him by allowing prisoners at Cedar Junction Prison to exercise authority And control over the messhall tables and over the plaintiff by use of threats of over the plaintiff by use of threats of violence and assault to prevent him from sitting and enting at messhall tables. In doing so, Commissioner Dennetry failed to provide plaintiff with safety by allowing prisoners to own and group up at messhalls tables by Gang, Race, and illegal affiliations. Plaintiff states that commissioner Dennetry has a duty to make and promulgate rules and regulations under M.G.L. capt. 124 sec. 1(a) governing his "safety" which includes implementing a "seat-by-line" regulation in mass. D.O.C. Prisons. As a result of commissioner Dennetry's failure to promulgate and implement the necessary rules and regulations governing immate safety and security within the messhalls of mass. D.O.C. Prisons, plaintiff was threatened and seriously injured from an assault by other other prisoners to prevent him from eating in the messhalls. On August 12, 200s, plaintiff filed Immate Grievance #12502 seeking among other things, monetary compensations for the injuries he sustained and requested safe and secure prison environment by the implementation of a seat-by-line' regulation in the mass. D.O.C. Prisons' messhalls to discourage and prevent gang and racial violence towards him. violence And ASSAULT to prevent him from

UN OK between October 31 And November 2005, Commissioner Dennehy, investigated and inguired into the Allegations in plaintiff's Inmate Grievance* 12502, pursuant to her duty under M.G.L.chpt. 124 Sec. 1(i) And denied him the Reliefs Sought. The plaintiff's Immate Grievance had been denied by Acting Superintendent of Cedar Junction, John Marshall, JR., on Oct. 31, 2005, And fowarded to Commissioner Dennehy for Review. ON OR between October 31 And November

Denniety for keview.

16. In cappying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennety, in July 2005, failed to provide plaintiff with safety during recreation in the Prison yard at Cedar Junction and the yard or in any recreation area in or outside of the Prison, by failing to provide security correctional officers to Patrol the recreation areas in or outside of the prison or along the walkways. As a result, plaintiff was assaulted by another prisoner on July 28, 2005, in the recreation yard and along the walkway to the recreation yard and along the walkway to the recreation yard at Cedar Junction Prison, and sustained serious physical injuries such as a Practured nose, head injuries, stab wound and etc., which required hospitalization and Suture surgery. Plaintiff states that Commissioner Dennety had a duty to provide him with "safety" by promulgating rules and regulations under m.G.L.c. 124 sec. 1 (Q) gonerning the safety and security

of inmates in the recreation areas of the MASS. D.O.C. PRISONS which requires MASS. D.O.C. HRISOND which Requires CARRECTIONAL OFFICERS to patrol the Recreation AREAS IN And outside of the MASS. D.O.C. PRISONS AND Along the WALKWAYS. AS A RESULT OF Commissioner's Dennehy's failure to promulgate and implement the necessary Rules and regulations requiring carrection officers to Patrol the Recreation Areas, plaintiff was approached and attacked by Another prisoner on a walkway in the Recreation yard area at Cedar Junction prison. On August 12, 2005. Olaintiff Recreation YARD AREA At CedAR Junction PRISON. ON August 12,2005, plaintiff filed An Immate Grienance #12502, seeking Among other things, monetary compensations for the Injuries he sustained and requested spee and secure prison environments by providing security staff correction officers to patrol the recreation Areas during recreation periods in Mass. D.o.C. Arisons. On Oct. 31, 2005, Acting Superintendent of Cedar Junction denied the Grienance and Fourded it to Commissioner Dennehy for review under M.G.L. chpt. 124 sec. 1(i), who Also denied the Reliefs Sought.

17. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen M. Dennehy, in June 2005, failed to make And promulgate necessary Rules and Regulations under mass. Gen. Laws chot. 124 sec. 1(Q) governing the discipline of inmates with Sufficient Sanctions for Assaults and initiated fights on inmate to inmate.

The plaintiff states that the Regulations promulgated by Commissioner Kathleen M. Bennethy under 103 CMR 430.25 on "Discipline" Sanctions, are insufficient to discourage Assaults and initiated fights appinist him from other prisoners. discourage Assaults and initiated fights arounds thim from other prisoners. Currently, immates receive less than 5-days and no more than 15-days for an Assault or initiated fight. When Petitioner was assaulted by Another prisoner of Codar Tunction on 7-38-05, the prisoner who assaulted him, was released into the general population the same day without any lock-in. Moreover, the Codar Tunction officials refused to file criminal charges against the other prisoner which were requested by the Plaintiff in Tumate Grievance #12310. The lack of sufficient spacetions and refusals to file criminal charges at the request of plaintiff, who was an inmate assaulted by another initiated tights, assaulted by another initiated the prisoners, which makes the prisone on July 38, 2003, at Codar Junction caused plaintiff to be assaulted by another risoner on July 38, 2003, at Codar Junction during yard recreation. On July 31, 2003, plaintiff filed Tumate Grievance #12310 requesting amonast other things, that criminal charges be filed against immate thomas Delacruz, who assaulted him in Codar Junction recreation yard on July 38, 2005, with a weapon. In April 2006, commissioner Dennety Reviewed under m.G.L.C. 124 sec. 1(i), Acting Superintendent's John Marshall, Jr. is April 3, 2006, decision M.G.L.C. 124 Sec. 1(1), Acting Superintendent's John MARSHALL, JR.'S April 3, 2006, decision

denying the plaintiff the Reliefs Sought in the Grienance which included monetary democes and follow-up medical examinations of the hospital in Addition to the filing of criminal charges against inmate "Delacruz." In April 2006, Commissioner Dennehy Cenjed Plaintiff the Reliefs Sought in Grievance #12310. On August 12, 2005, plaintiff filed Grievance #12502, seeking the reliefs of mandatory criminal charges and maximum sanctions for assaults and initiated fights of an inmate(s) on another immate. Between October 31 and November 2005, Commissioner Dennehy Relieved under M.G.L. chpt. 124 sec. 1(i), Acting superintendent's John marshall, Jr.,'s decision denying plaintiff the reliefs sought.

12 The cooperation and 11 - 911

18. In CARRYING out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Commissioner, Kathleen m. Denneby, on or between October 31, And Nov. 2005, IN RESponse to plaintiff's Immate Grievance # 12502 fowarded to her by Acting Superintendent of Cedar Junction, John Marshall, Jr., have refused to Restore or implement ordinary prisoner rights and privileges as incentatives or rewards for good behavior to discourage misbehavior, and have therefore, made and promoted dangerous and unsafe prison environments which not only poses a constant threat to plaintiff's safety and well-being but also caused him to be assaulted on July 28, 2005, at Cedar Junction by another immate.

B. Defendant: Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender.

James R. Bender.

19. Since Plaintiff WAS committed to MASS. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on September 17, 2004 until January 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on January 2005 until September 2005, and Norfolk Prison on September 2005, and Norfolk Prison on September 2005 until December 2005, and Souza-Branowski Prison on December 2005, and Souza-Branowski Prison on December 2005, and Souza-Branowski Prison on December 2005, and Souza-Branowski Prisoner, James R. Bender, under the Supervision Prid Control of the Commissioner, has planned and directed illegat punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C., by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of Resistance to the full enjoyment of Such Rights, privileges, and immunities in violation or mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 124 section Lexicol on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and deuelop Programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of Mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "all superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Correction treat Prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

- 20. In CARRYING out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, Iames R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to Plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Requests, deprived and denied plaintiff the Right and privilege to Smoke Cigar-Rettes and tobacco products cutdoors or in a designated area of Mass. D.O.C. Prisons in the exercise of his first amendment religious way of Life of the 5% ers Gods and Earths and in Accord with the State created Right.
- 21. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, Immes R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff acleanate food which falls far below both the minimum and average daily requirements of 2000 and 2775 calories and are without required condiments; required variety; and are repetative, repulsive, contaminated by tamperings, and excludes holiday meals even those offered by donations from other public and private sources.
 - 22. In CARRYING out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004,

deprived And denied plaintiff Adequate Clothes such as conventional slack-pants with pockets and zippers, Long-sleeve shirts, boots or boot-shoes, sweatshirts, to protect him from inclement North-Eastern Weather and to meet rehabilitative standards. The clothing issued to plaintiff by D.O.C. was and is punitive in style and designed to punish, degrade and humiliate him and cause him health problems.

- 23. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff A subsidiary Iele Pay AS A portion or percentage of the State and Federal Stipends given prisoners for certain program or work assignments, And that Deputy Commissioner, Bender, has denied him the Iele pay he was due when D.O.C. was unable to provide him with the work or program assignments that the State and Federal Stipends were provided for.
- 24. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Dec. 17, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff

Adequate cell space and subjected him to germs and diseases from other prisoners, and to racial violence and hatred from other prisoners by double-bunking him in racially segregated cells.

- 25. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, IAMES R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's December 19, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff his Right of Rehabilitation through the ASSOCIATION And Representation of an Immate cropnization called the N.A.A.C.P. Plaintiff also states that he is put in a Constant fear of violence in absence of any immate organizations.
- 26. In carrying out the illegal Punitive program Policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff his right of Rehabilitation through the Association and Representation of An Inmate organization called the Inmate Liason Committee (ILC). Plaintiff also states that he is put in a constant fear of violence in atosence of any inmate organizations.

27. In CARRYING out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiffs Dec. 17, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff equal protection of the Laws under the 14th Amendment by failure to prepare and maintain a "master Index system" containing a Record of Prior decisions by subject matter upon which to base decisions. As a result, the Coordinators have denied him rights and privileges that they have alwayd other prisoners with whom he is similarily situated as a prisoner with a state created liberty interest in receiving a fair and unbiased review, investigation and decision on his Inmate grievances. Deputy Commissioner, Beader, aso devied plaintiff the Right of Rehabilitation by not allowing Immate Representatives to participate in the Review, investigation, and decision making process of his Grievances in the Same way investigation and decision making process of his Grievances in the Same way inmates participate in the Immate Grievance Program within New York.

In Carrying at 11 - 11.

28. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bencler, on Jan. 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Dec. 17, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff conjugat visits as a fundamental right of marital privacy in the exercise of his religion

OF the 5% ers Goods and Earths and the Rehabilitation of Visits From Relatives and friends by transportation afforded by D.O.C. through a family Reunioun Program Similar to the one established within New York State D.O.C.S.

- 29. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, IAMES R. Bender, on JAN. 19, 2005, in resource to plaintiff's Dec. 17, 2004, request, deprived and denied plaintiff the Music by Mail Arogram to receive and possess walkman cassette players and Cassette tapes containing religious 5% and Free speech information.
- 30. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Dec. 17, 2004, Request, deprived and denied plaintiff's first amendment Right to Subscribe to And Receive the pornographic Magazines of Playboy, Penthase, Players, and Hustler, which the 103 cmr 481. 15(2)(9) Allows because they do not pose a threat to the Security, good order or discipline of the Institutions.

31. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Deputy Commissioner, James R. Bender, on Jan. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's December 17, 2004, Request, have refused to restore or implement ordinary prisoner rights and privileges. As incentatives or rewards for good behavior to discourage misbehavior, and have therefore, made and promoted dangerous and unsafe prison environments which not only poses a constant threat to plaintiff's safety and well-being, but also caused him to be assaulted by another prisoner on July 28, 2005, at cedar Junction.

C. Defendant: Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Timothy Hall.

Timothy Hall.

32. Since Plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on Jan. 2005 until September 2005, and Norfolk Prison on Sept. 2005 until Dec. 2005, and Souza-Baranowski Prison on Dec. 2005 until the present 2007, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Timothy Hall, under the supervision and control of the Commissioner, has planned and directed illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C., by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 usca sec. 1997a and in Violation of

MASS. Gen. LAWS chpt. 124 sec. 1(e)(4)(Q) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, And develop programs of Rehabilitation RATHER than punitive programs, and in violation of MASS. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "TREATMENT OF PRISONERS", which requires that "All Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Carrection treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, inclustry, and good conduct merit."

- 33. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of state and federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Timothy Hall, between February and March 2006, And in April 2007, as a member of the D.O.C. Religious Services Review Committee, denied plaintiff's February 2006, Religious Services Request to exercise the Religious way of life of the 5%ers Gods and Earth by being allowed to receive and smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in A designated area of Mass. D.O.C. Prisons.
- 34. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Timothy Hall, in May 2006 and April 2007, as a member of the Doc. Religious Services Review Committee, devied plaintiff's May 2006 Religious services Request to Receive conjugal visits as a fundamental Right of Marital privacy in the exercise of his religion of the 5%ers Gods and Earths.

35. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Timothy Hall, in May 2006 and April 2007, As a member of the D. O.C. Religious Services review Committee, denied plaintiff's may 2006, Religious Services request to Listen to the Religious Music, songs, proverbs, and messages of the 5%ers Gods and Earths recording Artists on walkman Cassette PLAYERS AND CASSETTE TAPES.

D. Defendant: ASSISTANT Deputy Commissioner, John Marshall

36. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At CONCORD PRISON ON Sept. 17, 2004, UNITE JAN. 2005, AND CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON ON JAN. 2005 UNITE Sept. 2005, AND NORFOLK PRISON ON Sept. 2005 Unitil December 2005, AND SOUZA-BARANOWSKI PRISON ON DEC. 2005 until the present 2007, ASSISTANT Deputy Commissioner, John MARSHALL, under the Supervision and control of the commissioner, has planned and directed Commissioner, not punned and directed illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C., by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 USCA SEC. 1997a and in violation of mass. Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs of

Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chot. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "ALL Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Correction treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

- 37. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, John Marshall, between February and march 2000, and in April 2007, As a member of the D.O.C. Religious services Review Committee, denied plaintiff's February 2006 Religious services request to exercise the religious services request to exercise the religious by being allowed to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated area or mass. D.O.C. Prisons.
- 38. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, John Marshall, in May 2006 And April 2007, As a member of the D.o.c. Religious Services Review Committee, clenied plaintiff's May 2006 Religious Services request to receive Conjugal visits as a fundamental Right of Marital privacy in the exercise of his religion of the 5% ers Gods and Earths.

39. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, John Marshall, in May 2006 and April 2007, AS A member of the D.O.C. Religious services Review Committee, denied plaintiff's May 2006, religious services request to listen to the Religious music, Songs, Aroverbs, and Messages of the 5% on walkman Cassette Players And Cassette Tapes.

E. Defendant: Associate Commissioner of Re-Entry and Re-intergration, Veronica M. Madden.

40. Since Plaintiff WAS committed to MASS. D.O.C. At CONCORD PRISON ON Sept. 17, 2004, Until JAN. 2005, AND CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON ON JAN. 2005 UNTIL Sept. 2005, AND NORLFORLK PRISON ON Sept. 2005 UNTIL DECEMBER 2005, AND SOUZA-BARANOWSKI PRISON ON DECEMBER 2005 UNTIL The present 2007, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, VERONICA M. MADDEN, UNDER THE COMMISSIONER, PLANNED, CIRECTED, AND MANAGED ILLEGAL PUNITIVE PROGRAM POLICIES TO PUNISH PLAINTIFF FOR BEING COMMITTED TO D.O.C., BY THE CENTAL AND CEPTIVATION OF ORDINARY PRISONER RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, AND IMMUNITIES PURSUANT TO A PATTERN OF PRACTICE OF RESISTANCE TO THE FULL ENJOYMENT OF SUCH RIGHTS,

PRIVILEGES, And immunities in Violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 19974 And in Violation of MASS. Gen. LAWS chpt. 124 Sec. 1 (EXL) (Q) ON Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in Violation of Mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 Sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that under the Supervision of the Institutions of Correction treat prisoners with the kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

- 41. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Associate Commissioner, Veronica M. Maclen, between February and March 2000, and in April 2007, as a member of the D.O.C. Religious Services Review Committee, denied plaintiffis february 2006 Religious Services Request to exercise the religious way of life of the 5% bers Gods and Farths by being allowed to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated Area of Mass.
- 42. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Associate Commissioner, Veronica m. madden, in may 2006 and April 2007, AS A member of D.O.C. Religious Services Review Committee, denied plaintiff's

MAY 2006 Religious Services Request to receive conjugat visits as a fundamental Right of marital privacy in the exercise of his religion of the 5% ers Gods and Earths.

- 43. In Carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in Violation of State and Federal Laws, Associate Commissioner, Veronica m. madden, in May 2006 and April 2007, As a member of D.O.C. Religious Services Review Committee, devied plaintiff's May 2006, Religious services Request to Listen to the Religious Music, Songs, Proverbs, And messages of the 5%ers Gods and Earths Recording Artists on walkman Cassette players and Cassette Tapes.
- F. Defendant: Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Ronald T. Duval
- 44. Since Plaintiff WAS committed to MASS.

 D.O.C. At CONCORD PRISON ON Sept. 17, 2004, UNTIL JAN. 2005, AND CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON ON JAN. 2005 UNTIL SEPTEMBER 2005, AND NORFOLK PRISON ON SEPT. 2005 UNTIL DEC. 2005, AND SOUZA-BARANCUSKI PRISON ON DEC. 2005 UNTIL The PRESENT 2007, ASSISTANT DEPUTY Commissioner, Ronald T. DUVAL, UNDER the Supervision and Control of the Commissioner, planned AND DIRECTED ILLEGAL PUNITIVE PROGRAM POLICIES to PUNISH PLAINTIFF FOR BEING COMMITTED TO D.O.C., by the clear and

deprination of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of Resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 usca sec. 1997a and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws capt. 124 sec. 1 (e)(L)(Q) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs of rehabilitation rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws capt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "All Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Correction treat Prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

45. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Ronald T. Dunal, between February and March 2006, And in April 2007, as a member of D.O.C. Religious Services Review Committee, Services Request to exercise the Religious Services Reviews Committee, services Request to exercise the Religious way of life of the 5%ers Gods and Farths by being allowed to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated area of Mass. D.O.C. Arisons.

46. In CARRYING out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner

Ronald T. Dunal, in May 2006 and April 2007, AS A member of D.O.C. Religious Services Review Committee, devied plaintiff's May 2006 religious Services request to Receive Conjugal visits as a fundamental Right of Marital Privacy in the exercise of his religion of the 5%ers Gods and Earths.

47. In carrying out the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Assistant Deputy Commissioner Ronald T. Dunal, in May 2006 and April 2007, AS A member of D.O.C. Religious Services Review Committee, denied plaintiff's may 2006, Religious Services request to listen to the Religious Music, Songs, Aroverbs, and messages of the 5%ers Gods and Earths recording Artists on walkman cassette players and Cassette Tapes.

G. Defendant: Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur

48. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on Jan. 2005 until Sept. 2005, and Norfolk Prison on Sept. 2005 until Dec. 2005, and Souza-Baranowski Prison on Dec. 2005 until the present 2007, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, under the supervision and control of the Commissioner to review, investigate and decide Inmate Grievances, has reinforced illegal

punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C., by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner Rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 124 sec. 1 (2) (a) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs of rehabilitation rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment" of prisoners", which requires that "all superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Doc. treat prisoners with the kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

49. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance # 10874, and on Dec. 28, 2005 and July 17, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's inmate Grievance #13516, deprived and denied plaintiff the Right and privilege to Smoke Cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in A designated area of mass. D.O.C. Prisons in the exercise of his first amendment religious way of life of the 5% ers Gods and Barths and in Accord with the State created Right.

50. In Reinforcing the illegal Punitive program policies in violation of State and federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3 2005 And Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance #10874, And on July 28, 2005 And October 19, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance #11434, And on December 28, 2005 And July 26, 2006, in response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance Grievance #13886, And on Sept. 8, 2006, in response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance Numbers 19102 And 20230, And on Oct. 4, 2006, in response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance Grievance to plaintiff's Grievance #2007, in Response to plaintiff's Grievance #2007, in Response to plaintiff's Grievance #2007, in Response to plaintiff's Grienance#26582, deprived and denied plaintiff Adequate Food which falls far below both the minimum and Average daily requirements of 2000 and 2775 calories and are or aww mo 2775 calories and are without required condiments; required upriety; and are repetative, repulsive; contaminated by temperings, and excludes holiday meals even those offered by donations from other public and private sources.

51. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Cordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Thimate Grievance #10874, and on Jan. 5, 2006 and Feb. 22, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Grievance #13514, deprived and devied plaintiff adequate

clothes such as conventional slackpants with pockets and zippers; longsleeve shirts; boots or boot-shoes, sweatshirts, thermols, brief underware, and ski-coats to protect him from inclement North-Eastern weather and to meet rehabilitative standards. The clothing issued to plaintiff was and is punitive in style and designed to punish, degrade, and humiliate him and cause him health problems.

52. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3, 2005 AND Sept. 26, 2005, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S IMMATE GRIEVANCE # 10874, AND ON JULY 28, 2005 AND OCTOBER 19, 2005, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S IMMATE GRIEVANCE #11331, deprived AND denied Plaintiff A Subsidiary IDLE PAY AS A PORTION OR percentage of the State and Federal percentage of the State and received stipends given prisoners for certain program or work assignments, and that Department Grievance Coordinator, kristic Ladouceur, has, as with all other inmate grievances filed by plaintiff, failed to act where she has a duty to act to remedy the deprivation, by granting him the Idle pay he was due when D.O.C. WAS UNAble to provide him with the work or program ASSignments that the State and Federal Stipends were provided for.

53. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug.3 2005 and September 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #10874, and on Dec. 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #13896, deprived and denied plaintiff acleavate cell space and subjected him to germs and diseases from other prisoners, and to racial violence and hatred from other prisoners by double-bunking him in Racially segregated cells.

FH. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Lacouceur, on Aug. 3 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance 10874, and on Dec. 28, 2005 and Sept. 5, 2006, in response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance 1387, deprivation of Plaintiff the Association and Representation of an Immate Organization called the N.A.A.C.P. Plaintiff also States that he is put in a constant fear of violence from inmates in absence of any Immate organizations because there are no positive immate Role models.

55. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug.3,

2005 AND Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #10874, And ON Dec. 28, 2005 And Sept. 7, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #13883, deprived and denied plaintiff's Rights of Rehabilitation through the Association and Representation of An Immate Organization Called the Immate Liason Committee (ILC). Plaintiff also states that he is put in a Constant Fear of violence from immates in absence of Any Immate Organizations because there are no positive immate Role models.

56. In Reinforcing, the illegal punitive program policies in violation of state and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Cookdinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in response to plaintiff's inmate Grievance # 10874, and on Aug. 11, 2005 and Nov. 22, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance # 11823, deprived and denied plaintiff Equal Protection of the Laws under the 14th amendment by failure to prepare and maintain a "master Index system" containing a record of prior decisions upon which to base grievance decisions. As a result, the inmate grievance coordinators including the Department's Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, have denied plaintiff Aights and privileges that they have allowed other prisoners with whom plaintiff is similarity situated as a prisoner with

A state created liberty interest in receiving a fair and unbiased review, investigation and decision on his inmate grievances. Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, also denied plaintiff the Rights of Rehabilitation by not allowing Thimate Representatives to participate in the Review, Investigation, and decision making process of his Grievances in the same way immates participate in the Immate Grievance Program within New York State Department of Correctional Services.

57. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #10874, and on Jan. 5, 2006 and Feb. 22, 2006, in response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #13537, deprived and denied plaintiff conjugal visits as a fundamental right of privacy in the exercise of his religion of the 5% ers Gods and Earths and the Rehabilitation of visits from relatives and friends by transportation apported by D.O.C. through a family reuniounly program similar to the one established within New York State D.O.C.S.

58. In Peinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in Violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3, 2005, and Sept. 26, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance #10874, deprived and denied plaintiff the music By mail program to receive and possess walkman cassette players and cassette Tapes to Listen to the religious music, songs, proverbs and messages of the Sybers Goods and Earths recording Artists, and educational and Free Speech information.

59. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on Aug. 3, 2005 and Sept. 26, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance #10874, and on July 28, 2005 and oct. 19, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #11331, discriminated papinst plaintiff by depriving and denying him a 13" Color T.V. in his cell due to his poverty, while allowing other prisoners with whom he is similarily situated, to have 13" Color T.V.'s in their cells, and would not allow him to receive a 13" color T.V. as a donation from other public and private sources.

60. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of state and rederal laws, bepartment Grievance coordinator, kristie Ladouceur, between October 31 and Non. 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Thimate Grievance # 8836, and on Dec. 28, 2005 in Response to plaintiff's Thimate Grievance # 8836, and on Dec. 28, 2005 in Response to plaintiff's Thimate Grievance # 13512, and on Jan. 22, 2007 and march 17, 2007, in Response to Plaintiff's Thimate Grievance # 33851, deprived and devied plaintiff placement in a Rotective Custody Program and has denied him "Spren" because the State's D.o.c. did not have a Protective Custody Program at Cedar Junction Prison between January and September 2005. As a result January and September 2005. As a result January and September 2005. As a result January and September 2005. As a projected. Because of the Punishments, he signed off of S.m. u. Restrictions Rather than protected. Because of the Punishments, he signed off of S.m. u. Restrictions Rather than protected. Because of the Punishments, he signed off of S.m. u. Restrictions Rather than protected. Because of the Punishments by the signed off of S.m. u. at Cedar Junction in July 2005, and entered General population where he caps threatened by Thimates who were plusted to exercise Authority and Control over other inmates trying to eat At the messhall tables, and was threatened with violence by those inmates controlling the tables and subsequently assaulted by one of them with a weapon in the Cedar Junction yard and sustained Serious physical injuries on July 28, 2005, Reguiring hospitalization.

This happened to the plaintiff because Department Grievance Coordinator, denied his immate grievances for protection and failed to act where she had a duty to act to ensure that Cedar Junction Prison and the state D.O.C. have a bona fide Protective Custody Program to provide "safety" Rather than punishment, for immates who need it such as this plaintiff.

As a result of the Department Crievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, failure to act where she had a duty to act to provide in her Grievance decisions, a bona fide state D.O.C. Protective Custody program, the plaintiff was again sent to the S.M.U. in 9-Block at Cedar Junction in August 2005, where he was punished by restrictions rather than protected because the State D.O.C. did not have a protective Custody Program.

While in 9-Block, the Staff and C.O.'s informed and encouraged inmate Joseph Druce and others about plaintiffs conniction charge on a sex offense and registration PS A Risk Level'3' sex offense. Consequently, he was continually threatened and stigmatized by All the prisoners on the 9-block tier. The prisoners threw feces, urine, and etc., in

in Front of his cell door And one of them IN trant of his Cell clock And one of them
threw A Substance on Plaintiff while
officers who were suppose to have been
protecting him, dropped their sheilds to
Allow the prisoner to throw it on plaintiff.
The prisoners had called And labeled
plaintiff A Child Rapists, skinner, snitch,
AAT AND other obscenities including
Nigger, Nigger, Nigger, For several days.
They didn't stop until plaintiff was
transfered to Norfack prison. All of this
occurred because Donother & Griger TRANSPERED TO NORFOLK PRISON. ALL OF this OCCURED because Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, who Received the March 31, 2005, Letter Attached with Grievance #8836, from the plaintiff, failed to act when she had a duty to act to provide plaintiff protection and because she failed to make a decision to implement a bona fide state protective custody program.

Between Sept. to December 2005, plaintiff WAS continually punished by the S.M.U. RESTRICTIONS RATHER than protection, At NORFOLK'S PRISON despite his Grienance # 13512 that he be protected RATHER than punished or placed in a bond-ficle protective custody program or transferred to another state's protective custody program such as in NYSDOCS. The Immate Grienance #13512 WAS filed At NORLFORK PRISON on Sept. 20, 2005. The Department Grienance Coordinator,

Kristie Ladouceur, directed the Institu-Knistie Ladouceuk, airected the Institutional Grievance Coordinators and the Superintendent Spencer, to delay a decision on the Plaintiff's Immate Grievance #13512. As a result, superintendent Spencer did not decide and deny plaintiff's Grievance until Dec. 28, 2005, at which time it became subject to Automatic review by the Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, who also denied it.

In December 2005, plaintiff was assigned to A Protective Custody Unit At Souza-Baranowski Prison, which is not A Protective Custody Program. Instead, it is A "QUASI OR SEMI-SATELLITE UNIT".

Nahile in the Unit between December 2005 and November 2006, plaintiff was denied sufficient indiopent hygeinic items (i.e., sopp, toothpaste, toothbrush, deadorant, shampo, Printiff was Also denied a Prison Program or Job to earn money to purchase such items. Plaintiff was Also denied a Prison Program He was constantly threatened by both Staff and Immates due to the nature of his conviction charge on A sex offense Asainst A child and Registration as A Risk Level '3' sexual predator of children. This was the same unit amonest some of the same immates, where Catholic Priest John Geophan was murdered by Another inmate named Joseph Druce, who had previously threatened plaintiff. Immates are misclassified and

put on that unit At SBCC by Staff for the purpose of targeting for Assaults and murder, other prisoners convicted and registered on sex charges. Also, while on the Unit, plaintiff meals were targeted and tampered with by spit and etc., from Cooks, Staff, and Inmates. Consequently, plaintiff was threatened off of the Unit into the S.M.U. At Souza-Baranauski where he is lacked-in a cell 24 hours. A day and punished for protection by the S.M.U. Restrictions Rather than protected. Plaintiff requires protection from both Staff and Immates in the General Populations and Arotective Custody Units of MASS. D.O.C. Arisons.

ALL of this has and is occuring to plaintiff because Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, failed to act where she had a duty to act to prevent the violations by making a decision on plaintiff's Immate Grievances to provide him with "Safety' Rather than punishment, in a bona fide Protective Custody Program.

61. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on or between October 31 and November 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #12502, fowarded to her for Review by

Acting Superintendent, John MARSHALL, Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, JR., from Cedar Junction Prison, failed to act where she had a duty to act, by making a decision on plaintiff's immate grievance, to implement a seat-by-Line" regulation in Mass. D.O.C. prisons, including Cedar Junction, to prevent Gang and Racial Violence towards the plaintiff by inmates involved in Racian, Gangs and illegal affiliations, being allowed to exercise authority and control over the plaintiff by threats of violence and assault to prevent olaintiff from sitting and eating at a PLAINTIFF FROM SITTING AND EATING AT A plaintiff from Sitting And Eating At H
messhall table in mass. D.o.c. prisons
At Cedar Junction And elsewhere.
On July 28, 2005, plaintiff was assaulted
by one of the threatening inmates
And Sustained Serious physical injuries
which he also requested mass. D.o.c.
to compensate him for. Department
Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur,
Also denied that aspect of the Tamate Corievance.

62. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in Violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on or between October 31 and November 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance #12502, fowarded to her for Review by Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, IR., from Cedar Junction Prison, failed to act

where she had a duty to act to provide plaintiff with "Safety" during recreation in the MASS. D.O.C. PRISON YARDS AND IN All Recreation AREAS IN OR CUtside of the PRISONS, INCLUDING CEDAR JUNCTION, by Requiring Security Correctional Officers to Patrol All of the Recreation AREAS. Because these were no Officers AREAS. BECAUSE these were no Officers patrolling the yard recreation areas at cedar Junction arison, plaintiff was assaulted and received serious physical injuries on July 28, 2005, in Cedar Junction yard recreation area by another inmate. As part of the Itamate Grievance, plaintiff requested to be compensated for the physical injuries he sustained as a presult of D.O.C.'s failure to provide him the safety of Officers Patrolling the prixal vards and recoording areas. the prison yards and recreation areas. Department Grievance Coordinator, KRISTIE LADOUCEUR, Also denied that Relief sought in the Inmate Grievance.

G3. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on or between October 31 and November 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance #12502, fowarded to her for Review by Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, JR., on October 31, 2005, From Cedar

Junction Prison, And in April 2006, in response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance IN RESPONDE to plaintiff'S Immate Grievand #12310, fowerded to her for review by Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, Jr., on April 3, 2000, From Cedar Junction Prison, clenied and deprived plaintiff the Relief of Criminal charges against immate Thomas Delacruz who approached and assaulted plaintiff with A weapon in Cedar Junction Yard on July 28, 2005. Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristic Ladauceuri also denied plaintiff the relief of monetary compensations for the reliefs of mandatory criminal charges and maximum disciplinary sanctions for psspults on immate-to-immate andlor PSSPULTS ON INMATE-to-INMATE AND/OR INITIATED FIGHTS between inmates, to discourage violence amongst inmates and create safe prison environments.

64. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, on or between October 31 and Nov. 2005, in response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #12502, fowarded to her on Oct. 31, 2005, by Acting Superintendent of Cedar Junction, John Marshall, Jr., deprived and clenied plaintiff ordinary prisoner Rights and privileges as incentatives or rewards for good behavior, and have therefore, made

And promoted chargerous and unsafe prison environments which not only poses a threat to plaintiff's safety and well-being but also caused him to be assaulted on July 28, 2005, at cedar Junction Prison by another inmate. Moreover, Department Grievance Coordinator, Kristie Ladouceur, has failed to act where she has a duty to act to remedy the foregoing violations.

H. Defendant: Superintendent of Concord Prison, PETER PEPE

65. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on September 17, D.O.C. HT CONCURS TRIBUTED SUPERINTENDENT, 2004 UNTIL JANUARY 2005, Superintendent, peter Pepe, under the Supervision, control, planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, has administered And enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial And deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of Such Rights, privi-leges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation OF MASS. GEN. LAWS chot. 124 Sec. 1(E)(G)(Q) ON Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop frograms of rehabilitation rather than punitive programs, and in violation of Mass. Gen.

Laws, chot. 127 Sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "all superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Corrections treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

66. In Administering And enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Superintendent, Peter Pere, At Concord Prison, from Sept. 17, 2004 to JAN. 27, 2005, deprived plaintiff of his religious and state created rights to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated area of the Prison; adequate Food; adequate Clothes; Idle work-program pay; Immate organizations of the ILC and N.A.A.C.P.; conjugal visits and free transportation for relatives and friends to visit in a family reunioum program; walkman cassette players and cassette trapes to listen to religious music; educational and free speech information; men magazines of Playboy, Penthouse, men magazines of Playboy, Penthouse, Hustler and Players pornography; IMPARTIAL AND FAIR INMATE GRIEVANCE decisions. Also seat-by-line procedures in the mess-halls; security officers patrolling the recreation areas and double bunked plaintiff by RACE with inaclequate space. All of these deprivations administered and enforced by superintendent refer pepe are in according mass. D.O.C. Policies on the unfair Treatment of Inmates.

GT. In Administering And enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of state and Federal Laws, Superintendent, Peter Pere, between December 2004 and Innuary 2005, denied plaintiff's written other inmates who were targeting him for assaults and murder due to the NATURE OF his conviction charge on A sex crime against a child and registration as a Risk Level 3' sexual predator of children. In doing so, Superintendent Peter Pere, directed that plaintiff be becausified to higher classification and transferred to Cedar Junction Prison where there was RECURSINED TO MIGNER CLASSIFICATION And transferred to Ceder Junction Prison where there was NO protective custody program. Superintendent Peter Pepe Also Approved higher classificational transfer to Cedar Junction Prison in Light of Plaintiff's Requests for protection.

68. In Administering and enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, superintendent, Peter Repe, on Aug. 15, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievances#7589 and #8387, deprived and denied plaintiff of the reliefs sught in the Grievances which included but were not limited to, counsel, reprimend, and criminal charges against correction officers "pare", "Dragone" and "martin" for assaulting him on Dec. 19, 2004, in an Attempt to murder plaintiff. The plaintiff Also sought monetary compensations for the injuries he sustained from the assault

with intent to kill him. Thus, Superintendent Peter Pepe failed to act where he had a duty to act to remedy the violations against Plaintiff by Correction officers at his facility.

I. Defendant: Superintendent of CEDAR JUNCTION, DAVID NOWAN

69. Since Plaintiff was committed to MASS. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until January 2005, and Cedar Junction on January 2005 until September 2005, Superintendent, David Nolan, under the Supervision, Control, planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, has Administered and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary by the denific that deprivation of undirary prisoner Rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of Resistance to the full enjoyment of such Rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 usca sec. 1997a and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 124 sec. 1@(U)(Q) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop frograms

of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws, chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "Au Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Correction treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

70. In Administering and enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, superintendent, David Nolan, on Aug. 3, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #10874, and on July 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #11434, and on July 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #11331, and on Aug. 11, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #11331, and on Aug. 11, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #11824. and on June 9, 2006 GRIEVANCE#11824, And ON June 8, 2005, GRIENANCE#11824, And ON JUNE 8, 2005, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S INMATE GRIENANCE #8836, And ON JULY 31, 2005, IN RESPONSE tO PLAINTIFF'S INMATE GRIENANCE#12310, AND ON AUG. 12, 2005, IN RESPONSE tO PLAINTIFF'S INMATE GRIENANCE#12502, AND ON AUG. 17, 2005, IN RESPONSE to PLAINTIFF'S INMATE GRIENANCE#12574, deprived and denied PLAINTIFF his religious and state created Rights to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated AREA of the PRISON; Adequate Food; Adequate Clothes; Idle work/Program Pay; Inmate Crapnizations such as the N.A.A.C.P. And I.L.C.; Belief from double-bunking by Rage I.L.C.; RELIEF FROM double-bunking by RAGE with in Adequate Space; Conjugal visits and FREE TRANSPOR-

tation for Relatives and Priends to visit in a family Reuniaun Program; Walkman Cassette Players and Cassette tapes to listen to Religious Music, educational and take speech information; men perhographic magazines of Playloy, Reuthouse, Hustler, and Players; impartial and non-discriminatory Immate Greunice Decisions; denied protective custody and punished in s.m.u. by s.m.u. Restrictions rather than protected because of not having a bona fide Protective Custody Procedures in the messhall which resulted in Immates exercising their authority and control over plaintiff by Gang, Procedures in the messhall which resulted in Immates exercising their authority and control over plaintiff by Gang, Procedures in the messhall which resulted in Italians chaining ownership of messhall tables and threatened plaintiff with vidence and assauted plaintiff on July 38, 2005, to prevent plaintiff from eating at messhall tables and threatened plaintiff with vidence and assauted plaintiff on July 38, 2005, to prevent plaintiff from eating at messhall tables; and deprived and denied plaintiff spread outside of prison, which resulted in plaintiff being approached and assauted in plaintiff being approached plaintiff criminal charges which required hospitilization; deprived and denied plaintiff the meximum spactions for inmate on inmate security and denied pristiff the meximum spactions for inmate on inmate assaults and denied pristiff the meximum spactions for inmate on inmate assaults and denied pristiff the meximum spactions for inmate on inmates, to create a safe person environment; in-cell 13" color T.V. discrimination due to poverty; and deprived and denied pristiff and deprived and denied procedured poverty; and deprived and denied pristiff and deprived and denied procedured poverty; and deprived and denied pristiff and deprived and denied procedured proced

plaintiff monetary compensation for the injuries he sustained from the assault caused by Nolan's neglect to implement the proper security procedures in the messhalls, Yards and All Recreation Areas.

Therefore, not only did Superintendent David Nolan deny plaintiff all of the fore-going Rights, privileges, and immunities in his decisions on plaintiff's Immate Grievances, but Superintendent Nolan Also failed to Act where he had a duty to Act to remedy the Violations.

Furthermore, ALL of the foregoing deprivations of rights, privileges and immunities, Administered and enforced by Superintendent David Novan, are pursuant to mass. D.O.C. illegal punitive program policies on the unfair treatment of prisoners.

I.(1). Defendant: Superintendent of Norfolk Prison, Luis Spencer

71. Since Plaintiff WAS committed to MASS. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until January 2005, and Ceder Junction on January 2005 until September 2005, And Norfolk Prison on September 2005 until December 2005, Superintendent, Luis Spencer, under the Supervision, control, Planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Double Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, has administered and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the clenial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner Rights, privileges and immunities, pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such Rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 usca sec. 1997a and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws capt. 124 sec. 16(1)(Q) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs of Rehabilitation. And develop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws capt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "All superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Correction treatment of Prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

72. In Administering and enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of state and federal laws, superintendent, Luis Spencer, on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance# 13816, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Throate Grievance# 13886, and on Jan. 5, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's on Jan. 5, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13896, and on December 28, Grievance# 13896, and on December 28, Grievance# 13897, and on December 28, Grievance# 13887, and on December 28, Grievance# 13883, and on Jan. 5, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Immate, Grievance# 13883, and on Jan. 5, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Immate, Grievance# 13883, and on Jan. 5, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13537, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13537, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13537, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13537, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13537, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13537, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13537, and on December 28, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13512, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance# 13512, 2005, in Response deprived and denied plaintiff's his Religious deprived and denied plaintiff his religious and state created rights to snoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdooks or in a designated area of the prison; adequate food; adequate clothes; Idle work/program food; adequate clothes; Idle work/program pan; representation and association through pan; representations such as the N. A.A.C.P. inmate organizations such as the N. A.A.C.P. inmate organizations such as the N. A.A.C.P. inmate organizations and produced free and free and friends transportation for relatives and friends transportation for relatives and friends to visit him in a family reunioun program similar to or the same as NSDocs; walkman consette players and cassette tapes to listen to religious music, educational and free speech information; in-cell 13" color tu. due to poverty; Relief from dauble-bunking by Race with inacleauate space; men Pornographic magazines of Playlog, Penthase, Hustler, And Playlog; importial and Non-discriminatory. Immate Grievance Decisions; protection without punishment of s.m.u. Restrictions because of Not having a bond fide protective custody program; sept-by-line procedures in the messhalls to prevent immates from exercising authority and control over the tables by Gangs, race and illegat affiliations to threaten plaintiff with violence and assault plaintiff to prevent plaintiff from eating at a messhall table; security correctional afficers patrolling the yards and all recreation areas in or outside of prison; mandatory criminal charges and maximum sanctions for inmate on-inmate assaults and initiated fights to create a safe prison environment.

Not only has superintendent Luis spencer denied plaintiff all of the foregoing Rights, privileges, and immunities in his decisions on plaintiff's Inmate Grievances, but superintendent Luis Spencer, has also failed to act where he had a duty to act to Remedy the violations.

All of the foregoing deprivations of plaintiff's rights, privileges, and immunities, administered and enforced by superintendent, Luis Spencer, are pursuant to mass. D.O.C. illegal punitive program policies on the unifair treatment of prisoners.

J. DEFENDANT: DANIEL SULLIVAN, C.O. II, I.G.C.

73. Since Plaintiff WAS committed to MASS. D. O.C. At CONCORD PRISON ON SEPT. 17, 2004 UNTIL JAN. 2005, AND CEDAR JUNCTION 2004 Until JAN. 2005, AND CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON ON JAN. 2005 UNTIL Sept. 2005, Institution Grievance Coordinator, DANIEL SULLIVAN, UNDER the Supervision AND CONTROL OF the Commissioner AND DEPARTMENT GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR, to REVIEW, INVESTIGATE, AND CECIDE INMATE GRIEVANCES, HAS REINFORCED ILLEGAL PUNITIVE PROGRAM POLICIES to PUNISH PLAINTIFF FOR BEING COMMITTED TO D.O.C., by the deprivation of ordinary prisoner Rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of RESISTANCE to the full enjoyment of Such Rights, privileges and immunities in violation of Title 42 uson 1997, and Massicen. Loweschot. 124 Sec. 1 (E)(L)(Q) on Commissioner's cluties Sec. 1 (e)(L)(Q) ON Commissioner's cluties to establish, maintain, and clevelop programs of rehabilitation rather than puritive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws Chot. 127 Sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "ALL Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit." sec. 1(e)(L)(a) ON Commissioner's duties

TH: In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in Violation of State and Federal Laws, Institution Grievance Coordinator, Daniel Sullivan, on May 30, 2005, in Resonse to plaintiff's Immate Grievance the Plaintiff's Immate Grievance to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance or in A designated area of the Prisons; adequate Food; Adequate Clothes; Idle work-May; in-cell 13" Color IV. due to povery, Representation and association through immate organizations such as the N.A.A.C.P. and II.L.C.; Conjugal visits and Free transportation for Relatives and Free transportation for Relatives and Free transportation for Relatives and Grievance to visit to at the same as NYSDOCS; workman cassette players and cassette tapes to listen to Religious music; educational and Free speech information; men farmographic magazines of playboy, Penthouse, Hustler, and Players; impartial and non-discriminatory Immate Grievance Decisions; protection without punishment of S.M.U. Restrictions because of not having a bona fide protective Custody program; seat-by-line procedures in the messhalls to prevent inmates from exercising authority and court for the plaintiff to prevent plaintiff from eating at a messhall table; relief from duble-bunking by free with inade quate space;

Security Correctional Officers patrolling the yards and all recreation areas in or outside of prison; mandatory criminal charges and maximum sanctions for immale-on-inmate assaults and initiated fights to create a safe prison environment.

Not only has Institution Grievance Coordinator, Daniel Sullivan, denied plaintiff all of the foregoing PUMPHTHE HILL OF the tokegoing Riohts, privileges, and immunities, in his decisions on plaintiffs Inmate Grievances, but Institution Grievance Coordinator, Daniel Sullivan, has also failed to act where he had a duty to act to remedy the violations. the violations.
Moreover, All of the foregoing depriNations of plaintiff's Rights, privileges,
And immunities, reinforced by Institutional Grievance Coordinator, Daniel
Sullivan, are pursuant to mass. D.o.c.
illegal punitive program policies on the
unitary treatment of prisoners.

K. DEFENDANT: KIMBERLY KENNEY, C.O. IT INSTITUTION GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR:

75. Since Plaintiff WAS committed to MASS.

D.O.C. At CONCORD PRISON ON Sept. 17, 2004

UNTIL JAN. 2005, AND CEDAR JUNCTION

PRISON ON JAN. 2005 UNTIL Sept. 2005, AND

NORFOLK PRISON ON Sept. 2005 UNTIL DEC.

2005, Institution Grievance Coordinator,

Kimberly Kenney, under the Supervision

And Control of the Commissioner and

Department Grievance Coordinator, have

Reinforced illegal punitive program

policies to punish plaintiff for being

committed to D.O.C., by the deprivation

of Ordinary prisoner Rights, privileges, Committee to D.O.C., by the deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 usca sec. 1997a, and in violation of mass. SEC. 19919, And IN VIOLATION OF MASS. COM. LAWS Chot. 124 Sec. 1(e)(Q) ON Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, And clevelop programs of Rehabilitation Pather than punitive programs, And in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chot. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "All Superintendents of the Institutions under the supervision of the D.O.C., treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit." 76. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and record program policies in violation of State and recordinator, Kimberly Kenney, on Dec. 7, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance Information in A designated to a fee prison; Adequate Clothes; And I.L.C.; Conjugal visits and free transportation for Relatives and friends to visit him in A family Revision from From Similar to Grievance information; in-cell 13" Color inform

protection without punishment of s.m.u. restrictions because of not having a bona fide protective custody program; seat-by-line procedures in the messhalls to prevent immates from exercising authority and control over the tables by Cangs, pace, and illegal affiliations to threaten plaintiff with violence and assault plaintiff to prevent plaintiff from eating at a messhall table; security correctional officers patrolling the prison yards and all recreation areas in or outside of prison; mandatory criminal charges and maximum sanctions for immate-on-immate assaults and initiated fights between immates, to create a safe prison environment.

Not only has Institution Grievance Coordinator, Kimberly Kenney, clenied plaintiff all of the foregoing rights, privileges, and immunities, in her decisions on plaintiff's Inmate Grievances, but Institution Grievance Coordinator, Kimberly Kenney, has also failed to act where she had a duty to act to remedy the violations. Furthermore, all of the foregoing deprivations of plaintiff's rights, privileges, and immunities, reinforced by Institution Grievance Coordinator, Kimberly Kenney, are pursuant to mass. D.O.C. illegal punitive program policies on the unfair treatment of prisoners.

L. DEFENDANT: LOIS RUSSO, Superintendent OF Souza-Baranowski Prison

77. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.o.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on Jan. 2005 until sept. 2005, and Norfolk Prison on Sept. 2005 until December 2005 and Souza-Baranauski Prison on December 2005 until the present 2007, Superintendent, Lois Russo, under the Supervision, Control, planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, has Administered and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.o.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges and immunities, pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges and immunities, in violation of title 4a usca sec. 1997a and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 124 sec. 1 (exc.) (a) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and clevelop programs of rehabilitation rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "all superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the Department of Correction treat Prisoners with the kindness which their obedience, industry, and good conduct merit."

The Administering and enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Superintendent, Lois Russo, on Sept. 14, 2006, in Response to Plaintiff's Inmate Grievance 2001, and on Aug. 2, 2006, in Response to Plaintiff's Inmate Grievance 4001, and on Aug. 2, 2006, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance 40030, and on Jan. 17, 2017, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance 40030, and on Jan. 17, 2017, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance 400580, and in Feb. 2006, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance 400580, and in Feb. 2006, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Religious Services Request to exercise the Soers Gods and Earths way of life to smoke cigarettes and to plaintiff's Immate Religious Services Request to exercise the Floor, and in May 2006 in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Religious Services Request to exercise the Soers Gods and Earths way of life to Receive Conjugal visits as a fundamental Right of marital privacy in the exercise of his Religion of the Soers Gods and Earths, and in May 2006, in Response to Oldintiff's Immate Religious Services Positions exercise of his religion of the 5% cods and Earths, and in may 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Inmate religious Services request to listen to the religious Music, songs, proverbs, and messages of the 5% cods and Earths Recording Artists on walkman Cassette players and Cassette tapes, deprived and clenied plaintiff his religious and state created Rights to smoke Cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated prea of the prison; conjugal visits and free

transportation for Relatives and friends to visit him in a family Reunioun program similar to or the same as NySDacs; walkman casette players and casette tapes to listen to religious music, educational and free speech information; adequate Food; Adequate Clothes; Idle work pay; Representation and Association through Immate organizations such as the N.A.A.C.P. And I.L.C.; impartial and Non-discriminatory Immate organizations are precisions; Relief from doubleimpartial and Non-discriminatory Inmate Grievance Decisions; Relief from double-bunking by race with inadequate space; relief from discrimination on in-cellist color T.V. due to poverty; Men pornographic magazines of Playboy, Penthouse, Hustler and Players; protection without ounishment of s.m. u. restrictions because of not having a bona fide protective custody program; Seat-by-Line procedures in the meschalls to prevent immates from exercising authority and control over the Tables by Garos; race, and illegal affiliations to threaten plaintiff with violence and assault plaintiff to prevent plaintiff from eating at messhall tables; Security correctional officers patrolling the yards and All recreation areas in a cutside of prison; mandatory criminal charges and maximum sanctions for immate-on-immate assaults and initiated fights between immates, to create a safe prison environment.

Not only has superintendent Lois Russo, denied plaintiff all of the foregoing rights, privileges, and immunities, in her decisions

on plaintiff's Inmate Grievances, but superintendent, Lois Russo, has also failed to Act where she had a duty to Act to Remedy the Violations.

Furthermore, All of the foregoing deprivations of plaintiff's rights, privileges and immunities, Administered and leges and immunities, Administered and enforced by superintendent Lois Russo, are pursuant to to Mass. D.O.C. illegal punitive program policies on the unfair treatment of prisoners.

M. DEFENDANT: John MARSHALL, JR., Acting Superintendent of Cedar Junction Prison

79. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on Jan. 2005 until September 2005, Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, Jr., under the Supervision, Control, planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, has Administered and Elforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of Ordinary prisoner rights,

privileges, and immunities in violation of PRIVILEGES, AND IMMUNITIES IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 42 USCA SEC. 19974 AND IN VIOLATION OF MASS. GEN. LAWS Chpt. 124 SEC. 1(E)(C)(R) ON COMMISSIONER'S CLUTIES tO ESTABLISH, MAINTAIN, AND DEVELOP PROGRAMS OF REHABILITATION RATHER THAN PUNITIVE PROGRAMS, AND IN VIOLATION OF MASS. GEN. LAWS Chpt. 127 SEC. 32, ON the "TREATMENT OF PRISONERS", Which REQUIRES THAT "ALL SUPERINTENDENTS OF the INSTITUTIONS UNDER THO SUPERINTENDENTS OF the INSTITUTIONS UNDER THO SUPERINTENDENTS OF THE DEMONSTRUCT the Supervision of the Department of Correction treat prisoners with the Kind-Ness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

80. In Administering and enforcing the illegax punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, JR., on October 31, 2005, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance Numbers 8836, 12502, 12574, and on April 3, 2006, in Response to plaintiff's Immate Grievance #12310, deprived and denied plaintiff his religious and state created rights to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated area of the prison; conjugal visits and free transportation for relatives and friends to visit him in a family reunioun program similar to or the same as MSDocs; walkman cassette players and cassette tapes to listen to religious music, educational and free speech information;

Adequate Food; Adequate Clothes; Idle work pay; representation and association through Immate Organizations such as the N.A.A.C.P. and I.L.C.; impartial and non-cliscriminatory Immate Grievance decisions; independente space; relief from discriminate on in cell 13" color T.V. due to powerty; men pornographic magazines protection without punishment of s.m.u. bona fide protective custody program which resulted in plaintiff being assauted on July 28, 2003, by another immate in the prison yard at Cedar Junction and sustaining serious physical injuries which required hospitalization; seat-by-line procedures in the Prison messhalls to REQUIRED hospitalization; seat-by-line procedures in the Prison messhalls to prevent inmates from exercising authority and control over the tables by Gangs, Race, and illegal affiliations to threaten plaintiff with violence and assaulted plaintiff on July 28, 2005, to prevent plaintiff from eating at messhall tables; security correctional officers patrolling the yards and all recreation areas in or outside of the Prison which resulted in plaintiff being assaulted by another inmate on July 28, 2005, with a weapon; mandatory July 28, 2005, with A weapon; mandatory and requested criminal charges and maximum disciplinary sanctions for immate-on-inmate assaults and initiated fights between immates, to create a safe prison

environment. Superintendent, John Marshall, JR., was required to file Criminal charges papinst inmate Thomas Delacruz, Regarding the relonious Assault with a weapon against plaintiff on July 28, 2005, under mass. Gen. Law chpt. 127 sec. 38-C, but refused to do so even after being informed about the assault through plaintiff's sumate arienances. inmate grievances.

Not only has acting superintendent, John Marshall, JR., denied plaintiff all of the foregoing rights, privileges, and immunities, in his decisions on plaintiff's Thimate Grievances, and through his Administering and enforcements of D.O.C. illegal punitive program policies, but Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, Jr., has also failed to act where he had a duty to pact to compensate plaintiff with monetary compensations for the serious injuries he sustained and to remedy the Violations.

N. DEFENDANT: John Luongo, JR., Deputy Superintendent of CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON.

81. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on Jan. 2005 until Sept. 2005, Deputy Superintendent, John Luongo, JR., unider the Supervision, Control, planning and Directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, and Superintendent, has administered, managed, and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of the Rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 uses sec. 19979 and in Violation of mass And immunities in violation of Title 42 USCA SEC. 19979 And in Violation of MASS. Gen. LAW chot. 124 Sec. 1(E)(L)(Q) on Commissioner duties to establish, Maintain, And develop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of MASS. Gen. LAWS chot. 127 Sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners" which Requires that "ALL Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

82. In Administering, Managing, And enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal LAWS, Deputy Superintendent, John LUON90, JR., between JAN. 2005 And September 2005, deprived And denied plaintiff his Religious And State created Rights to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated area of the Arison; conjugal visits and free transportation for relatives and friends to visit him in a family reunioun program similar to or the same as NSDOCS; walkman cassette players and cassette tages to listen to religious music, educatapes to Listen to Religious music, educational and free speech information; Adequate food; Adequate Clothes; Idle WORK PAYI REPRESENTATION AND ASSOCIATION WORK PAY; Representation and association through Immate Organizations such as the N.A.A.C.P. And I.L.C.; impartial and Non-discriminatory Immate Grievance Decisions; relief From double-bunking by Race with inadequate space; Relief from discrimination on in-cell 13" color T.V. due to poverty; men pornographic magazines of Playboy, Renthouse, tustler, and Players; protection without punishment of S.M.U. Restrictions because of not having a bona fide Protective Custody Program; seat-by-line procedures in the messhalls to prevent immates from exercising authority and control over the messhall tables by Gangs, Race, and illegal affiliations to threaten plaintiff with violence and assaulted plaintiff to prevent plaintiff from enting at messhall tables; Security Correctional officers patrolling the yards and all recreation areas in or outside of prison; mandatory criminal charges and maximum disciplinary sanctions for inmate-on-inmate assaults and initiated fights between inmates, to create a safe prison environment. Deputy Superintendent John Luongo, Jr., is part of the Administration at Cedar Junction Prison who pre responsible for ensuring that "safe" procedures are being employed in the prison messhalls and that security officers are patrolling the Prison yards and in PRISON MESSHALLS AND that Security Officers are patrolling the Prison yards and in all recreation preas during recreation time. Deputy superintendent, John Luongo, JR., is also responsible for ensuring that criminal charges are filed against and inmate for felonious assault against and inmate inmate. When asked in August anos, by plaintiff to file criminal charges reprinst inmate Thomas Delacruz for assaulting plaintiff with a weapon on July 28, 2005, he said he would not because Delacruz was an Italian like him. The assistant Attorney General diegolod Delackuz who him Ithuan like him. The Assistant Attorney General directed Deputy Superintendent John Luongo, JR., and Acting Superintendent, John MAShall, JR., in Response to plaintiff's Complaint, to File Criminal Charges Against Immate Thomas Delacruz for Assaulting plaintiff, which Deputy Superintendent John Luongo, JR., Still Refused to do. The

Assistant Attorney General and Deputy Superintendent, John Luongo, and plaintiff's complaint to the Assistant Attorney General about the matter, Are referred to in Acting Superintendent, John Marshall, Jr., 's April 3, 2006, decision to deny plaintiff's Grievance Numbers 8836, 12502, 12574 and 12310. Deputy Superintendent, John Luongo, JR., is also responsible for ensuring the security correction officers are assigned to patrol the yards and recreation affects to ensure safety for all prisoners. AS A RESULT OF his neglect to ensure plaintiff's safety in the messhalls and upped and in all recreation areas, plaintiff was assaulted by another inmate.

Not only has Deputy Superintendent John Luongo, JR., deprived And denied plaintiff All of the foregoing rights, privileges, and immunities in his verbal decisions on plaintiff's requests to him in person, and through his administering, management and enforcements of D.O.C. illegal quaitive program policies, but Deputy Superintendent, John Luongo, JR., has also failed to Act where had a duty to Act to remedy the violations. He also neglected his duties to provide plaintiff with a safe prison environent.

O. DEFENDANT: DARRIN C. PAYNE, C.O. CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON

83. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 Until Jan. 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on Jan. 2005 until Sept. 2005, Correction Officer, Darrin C. Payne, under the Supervision, Control, planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent, Captains, Lieutenants, and Seargents, has administered, managed, and PAS Haministeked, MANAGED, And ENFORCED ILLEGAL PUNITIVE PROGRAM POLICIES to PUNISH PLAINTIFF FOR BEING COmmitted to D.O.C. by the denial And deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to A pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of the rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of the 42 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of Title 43 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of Title 43 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of Title 43 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of Title 43 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of Title 43 USCA Sec. 1997a And In Violation of Title 44 USCA Sec. 1997a And In Violation of Title 44 USCA Sec. 1997a And In Violation of tion of MASS. GEN. LAWS Chpt. 124 Sec. 1 (EXC)(Q) ON Commissioner's duties to 1(e)(L)(Q) on Caminissioner's cluthes to establish, maintain, and clevelop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chot-127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "all superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the kindness which their obadiance, industry, and good conduct merit."

84. In Administering, managing, and enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Correction Officer, Darrin C. Payne, and other unknown named Correction officers, were assigned to Patrol the MAIN YARD AT CEDAR JUNCTION ARISON on July 28, 2005, between 9 And 11:15 A.M. However, Correction Officer, DARRIN C. Payne And other Unknown Named Correction officers would not Patrol the main yard and instead, remained inside of the PRISON'S Building in the East Wing. This was because the Policy and Arocedure given to them from Superintendent, David Molan, Acting Superintendent, David Nolan, Acting Superintendent John Marshall, JR., And Deputy Superintendent, John Luongo, JR., which they Administer to operate the Prison From the Planning And directions of Deputy And Assistant Deputy Commissioners, Bender, Hall, John MARSHALL, MADDEN, AND DUVAL, Approved by Commissioner Denneby, does not require Correction officer, DARRIN C. PAYNE AND OTHER UNKNOWN Named Correction officers, to Patrol the Recreation Yards during immate recreption and Neither does it require them to enforce a seat-by-line procedure to ensure immate safety in

the prison messhalls. The Correction cricers are directed by policy and procedure, to stay inside of the Prison's Buildings when inmates are let out for recreption in the prison yards. Consequently, Correction Officer, Darrin C. Payne and other unknown named officers either neglected or were not allowed to patrol the yards. In either event, and assaulted with a weapon by another inmate named Thomas Delacruz, on this morning of July 2B, 2005, and sustained serious physical injuries to his head, face, fractured nose and a stabb wound laceration on the left side of his face and other related injuries which required hospitalization at Norword Hospital and surgery by Doctor Geller, from which he received sutures or stitches on the lacerated stabb wound, and packagings on his head, face, and nose. The assault and injuries the prevented if Correction officer Darrin C. Payne and other unknown named officers had not neglected their duty of Patrolling the Yard and/or if the Cormissioners, superintendents and Deputy Superintendent had promulgated and implemented a rule and requiration which required the Correction officers to provide immates safety by patrolling to provide immates safety by patrolling to provide immates safety by patrolling to provide immates safety by patrolling

the yards and all recreation areas during immate recreation.

Not only has correction officer, Darrin C. Payne, deprived and denies plaintiff all of the foregoing rights, privileges, and immunities, through his administering, management, and enforcements of D.O.C.'s illegal punitive program policies, but correction officer, Darrin C. Payne, neglected his cluty to provide plaintiff with safety in the Prison's Recreation yard.

P. DEFENDANT: Scott ANDERSON, DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT FOR CLASSIFICATION OF CONCORD.

85. Since plaintiff was committed to Mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until January 2005, Deputy Superintendent, Scott Anderson, under the Superiision, Control, planning and directions of the Commissioned Deputy and Assistant Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, and Superintendent, has Administered, Managed, And enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges and immunities pursuant to A pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of the Rights, privi-leges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 1997a and in violation of MASS. Gen. LAWS chot. 124 Sec. 1(e)(L) (Q) ON Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws, chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "Au Superintendents of the Institutions, under the Supervision

OF the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

86. In Administering, managing, and enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of state and Federal Laws, Deputy Superintendent, Scott Anderson, in Dec. 2004 and Jan. 2005, denied Plaintiffs Requests for Protective custody, and instead, increased plaintiff's classification from a level '4' to a Level '6' and classified him to be transfered to Cedar Junction Arison where Deputy Superintendent Scott Anderson, Knew there was no protective custody program because the State does not have a bona fide protective custody program. Consequently, once transfered to Cedar Junction Arison, plaintiff was punished in S.M.U. For protection to Ceclar Junction Hison, plaintiff was punished in S.M.U. For protection by the S.M.U. Restrictions Rather than protected in a protective custody program. Plaintiff was also threatened by other impates and assaulted by one of them at Ceclar Junction. At Souza-Bara-Nowski Prison, Plaintiff is Still being punished for protection by S.M.U. restrictions because the State does not have a bona fide protective custody arogram. program.

Q. DEFENDANT: KAREN DINARDO DIRECTOR OF CLASSIFICATION CONCORD PRISON.

87. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, Director of CLASSIFICATION, KAREN DINARdo, under the supervision, control, planning and directions of the Commi-ssioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, and Superintendent, has Administered, Managed, and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice or resistance to the full enjoyment of the Rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 1997a And in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 124 Sec. 1 (e)(L)(Q) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, And develop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of MASS. Gen. Laws, chot. 127 Sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "ALL Superintendents of the Institu-tions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit." -7988. In Administering, Managing, And enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal LAWS, DIRECTOR OF CLASSIFICATION, KAREN D'i NARdo, in OEC. 2004 And JAM. 2005, denied plaintiff's Request for Protective Custody, And instead, increased plaintiff's classification from A Level '4' to A Level '6' And classified him to be transferred to Cedar Junction PRISON Where the Director of CLASSIFICATION, KAREN DI NARDO, KNEW THERE WAS NO protective Custody Program because the state does not have a bona Ficle protective custody Program. Consequently, once transferred to Cedar Junction Prison, plaintiff was punished in S.M.U. For protection by the S.M.U. Restrictions RATHER than protected in a protective custody program. Plaintiff was also threatened by other immates and ASSAULTED by one of them At CedAR Junction. At Souza-Baranowski Junction. At Souza-Baranowski prison, plaintiff is still being punished for protection by s.m.u. Restrictions because the State cloes not have a bond fide protective custody program.

R. DEFENDANT: STEPHEN PARE, C.O. CONCORD PRISON

89. Since plaintiff was committed to mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, Correction Officer, stephen Pare, under the Supervision, controly planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, Superintendent, Deputy Superintendents, Captains, Lieutenants, and Serrgents, has adminished and managed. And enforced illegal Lieutenants, And Settgents, has administered, managed, and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to full enjoyment of such rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 USCA Sec. 1997a and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chot. 124 IN VIOLATION OF MASS. GEN. LAWS CAPT. 124 sec. 1(e)(L)(Q) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and clevelop programs of rehabilitation rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 Sec. 32, on the Treatment OF PRISONERS", which reautres that "ALL Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. theat prisoners with the kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit." -8190. In Administering, Managing, And enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal LAWS, Correction Officer, Stephen Pare, on Ipus, Correction Officer, Stephen Pare, on J-2 reception unit at concord Prison at approximately 10:45 p.m. on Dec. 19, 2004, denied plaintiffs request for Protective Custody. Correction Officer Stephen Pare first told plaintiff to sit at one of the tables and whit as all the other impates were locking-in their double-bunked cells by race. Correction Officer Stephen Pare then told plaintiff to take all of his property he had brought with him out of the cell and return to this cell. Plaintiff responded and told carrection officer Stephen Pare that he could not return to the cell or remain on the unit because his life would be in danger and he was in fear for his life due to the nature of his conniction charges on a sex offense and because he was recognized as a protective custody inmate from the Berkshire County Jail.

Correction officer, Stephen Pare, while plaintiff was sitting at recreation table, and without asking plaintiff to stand-up turn around and be hand-cuffed, grabbed plaintiff in a headlock and brought him to the floor and commenced to strangling the plaintiff in the headlock position with all his might. At the same time officer martin joined in the assault and began punching plaintiff in the head, face, and

back with his fists and knees and stumped and slammed the left side of plaintiff's face and head into the floor, causing the stem of plaintiff's eye-glasses to break off into his left-bye, which caused plaintiff serious physical injuries to his left-eye, face, head, neck, throat, and back. While being strangled breathless by Carectional Officer stephen pare and beaten by C.O. Markin, four other Carrectional Officers cane on the Unit, one of whom was Carrection officer Dragone secretly rapped a black strap around my neck under my Jacket collar and pulled me up off the floor using the black strap wrapped into my jacket collar and pulled me up off the floor using the black strap wrapped into my jacket collar and pulled me up off the floor using the black strap until I passed and the strangle are breathless with the black strap until I passed out in the hallway. I miracously revived my self and the officers took me to the Health services Unit and IPS took pictures of my injuries. Mare than so inmates witnessed the Assaut by the officers appinst me which occurred out on the open recreation floor of the J-2 Reception Unit.

Not only has correction Officer, Stephen Pare, deprived and denied Plaintiff ALL of the foregoing Rights, privileges, and immunities, through his administering,

MANAGEMENT, And ENFORCEMENTS OF D.O.C.'s illegal punitive program policies, but correction officer, Stephen Pare, has also used unnecessary force and violence against the plaintiff in an attempt to murcler the plaintiff, and in doing so, caused the plaintiff serious physical injuries to plaintiff serious physical injuries to plaintiff's throat, neck, head, face, eye, and back from the headlock strangulation.

S. DEFENDANT: DRAGONE, C.O. concord Prison

9.1. Since plaintiff was committed to MASS. D. O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, Correction Officer, Dragone, under the Supervision, Control, planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent, Captains, Lieutenants, and Seargents, has polinicistered, managed, and enforced CAPTAINS, Lieutenants, and seargents, has administered, managed, and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivation of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges, and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges, and immunities in violation of Title 42 usca sec. 1974 and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws, chpt. 124 sec. 1 (2)(2)(2) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs

of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws Chpt. 127 Sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "all superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

92. In Administering, managing, and enforcing the illegal punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Correction Officer, Dragone, on J-2 reception Unit at Concord Prison at approximately 10:55 A.m. on Dec. 19, 2004, joined in an assault which was occuring against the plaintiff by correction officers stephen Pare and martin. In doing so, correction officer, Dragone secretly rapped a black strap around plaintiff's neck under plaintiff's Jacket collar and pulled plaintiff up off the Floor using the black strap wrapped around plaintiff's neck in plaintiff's jacket collar and continued to strangle and choke And continued to Strangle and choke plaintiff with the black strap as he escorted plaintiff off the unit into the hallway. Correction officer Dragone Strangled me, the plaintiff, breathless with the black strap until I passed out the bollway. I the black strap until I passed out in the hallway. I, the plaintiff, miracously revived myself and the officers

took me to the Health Services Unit And IPS took pictures of my injuries. As A Result of Correction Officer's Strangulation of me, I passed out in the hallway hitting the Left side of my Head and Race of the Floor AS I Fell, which caused even more injuries to my head and face. I could not talk or swallow food or drinks because my throat had been seriously injured from the strangulation of Officer Dragone. As I was reviving I heard a female officer named shelly williams ask officer Dragone if I was clead yet. "Is he dead yet she said" and he answered "he better be". I then came to full consciousness to their shock and amazement. Therefore, plaintiff further states that correction officers stephen pare, "martin" and correction officer bragone had intended and attempted to murder him.

Not only has Correction Officer, Dragone, deprived and devised plaintiff all of the foregoing rights, privileges, and immunities, through his administering, management, and enforcements of D.O.C.'s illegal punitive program policies, but Correction Officer Dragone, also used unnecessary force and violence against the plaintiff in an attempt to murder the plaintiff and in doing so, caused the plaintiff serious physical injuries.

T. DEFENDANT: MARTIN, C.O. concord Prison

93. Since plaintiff was committed to Mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, Correction 17, 2004 Until JAN. 2005, CORRECTION OFFICER, MARTIN, UNCLER the Supervision, Control, planning and directions of the Commissioner, Deputy, and Assistant Deputy Commissioners, Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent, Captains, Lieutenants, and Seargents, has Administered, managed, and enforced illegal punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C. by the denial and deprivations of ordinary prisoner Rights. tions of ordinary prisoner rights, privileges and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges and immunities in violation or privileges and immunities in violation of title 42 usca sec. 1997a And in violation of MASS. Gen. LAWS chot. 124 sec. 1(e)(L)(Q) ON Commissioner's duties sec. 1(e)(L)(B) ON COMMISSIONER'S duties to establish, maintain and develop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners" which requires that all superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the Kindness which

their doedinace, industry, and good conduct merit."

94. In Administering, Managing and enforcing the illegat punitive program policies in violation of State and Federal Laws, Correction Officer, Martin, on J-2 reception Unit At Concord Prison J-2 Reception Unit At Concord PRISON
At Approximately 10:45 A.M. ON Dec. 19,
2004, Joined in An Assault which was
occuring Against the plaintiff by Correction
officer Stephen Pare. In doing so,
Correction officer Markin began punching
plaintiff in the head, face, and back
with his fists and knees and stumped
And slammed the Left side of plaintiff's
face and head into the floor, causing the
stem of plaintiff's eye-glasses to break
off into his Left-Eye, which caused
plaintiff serious physical injuries to his
left-eye: face, head, Neck, throat, and
back. Plaintiff out up No Resistance back. Plaintiff put up no resistance to officer martin or pare. At all times on the floor plaintiff had his hands behind his back for the officers to cuff him, but instead of putting hand-cuffs on plaintiff, correction officer martin continued to beat on him until other officers arrived on the unit, one of whom, put a black strap around his neck and pulled plaintiff off the floor using the black strap to strangle plaintiff.

Not only has Correction Officer, Martin, deprived and denied plaintiff all of the foregoing rights, privileges, and immunities through his Administering, management, and enforcements of D.O.C.'s illegal punitive program policies, but Correction Officer martin, also used unnecessary force and violence against the plaintiff in an attempt to murder the plaintiff and in doing so, caused the plaintiff serious physical injuries.

U. DEFENDANT: ANN MARIE AUCION, I.G.C.: CEDAR JUNCTION PRISON

95. Since plaintiff was committed to Mass. D.O.C. At Concord Prison on Sept. 17, 2004 until Jan. 2005, and Cedar Junction Prison on Jan. 2005 until Sept. 2006, Institution Grievance Coordinator, Ann Marie Aucion, under the supervision and Control of the Commissioner and Department Grievance Coordinator, have reinforced illegat punitive program policies to punish plaintiff for being committed to D.O.C., by the deprivation of ordinary prisoner Rights, privileges and immunities pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full

enjoyment of Such Rights, privileges, and immunities in Violation of Title 42 usca sec. 19979 and in Violation of MASS. Gen. Laws chpt. 124 sec. 1 (e)(y)(q) on Commissioner's duties to establish, maintain, and develop programs of Rehabilitation Rather than punitive programs, and in violation of mass. Gen. Laws chpt. 127 sec. 32, on the "Treatment of Prisoners", which requires that "AU Superintendents of the Institutions under the Supervision of the D.O.C. treat prisoners with the Kindness which their obediance, industry, and good conduct merit."

96. In Reinforcing the illegal punitive program policies in Violation of State and Federal Laws, Institution Grievance Coordinator, Ann Marie Aucion, on June 28, 2005, in response to plaintiff's Inmate Grievance # 11434, And on July 1, 2005, IN RESPONSE to plaintiff'S Immate GRIEVANCE#11331, AND ON JULY 13, 2005, IN RESPONSE to Plaintiff'S Immate GRIEVANCE #11824, AND ON JULY 15, 2005, IN RESPONSE #11824, PNd on July 15, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Inmate Grievance 11823, and on Aug. 3, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Immate Grievance 12310, and on Aug. 19, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Inmate Grievance 12574, and on Aug. 19, 2005, in Response to Plaintiff's Inmate Grievance 112502, deprived and denied Plaintiff his Religious and State created Rights to smoke cigarettes and tobacco products outdoors or in a designated area of the prison; adequate clothes; Adequate Food

As that within NySDOCS; Relief From discrimination of double-bunking by RACE with inadequate space; protection without punishment of S.m. U. restrictions because of not having a bond fide Protective Custody Program; seat-by-line procedures in the Prison messhalls to prevent inmates from exercising Authority and control over the messhall Tables by Gangs, RACE, and illegal Affiliations to threaten plaintiff with violence and assaulted plaintiff on July 28, 2005, to prevent

plaintiff from eating at a messhall table; scurity correction officers patrolling the Prison yards and all recreation areas in or outside of Prison Buildings, the lack of which caused plaintiff to be assaulted by another inmate named Thomas Delacruz on July 28, 2005, with a weapon and seriously injured; the reliefs of Criminal charges and injured; the reliefs of Criminal charges and plaintiff on July 28, 2005, and monetary plaintiff on July 28, 2005, and monetary compensation for the injuries plaintiff sustained; mandatory criminal charges and maximum disciplinary sanctions for and maximum disciplinary sanctions for immate-on-immate assaults and initiated fights between immates to discourage violence amongst inmates and create a safe prison environment.

Not only has Institutional Grievance Coordinator, Ann Marie Aucion, denied plaintiff all of the foregoing Rights, privileges, and immunities in her decisions on plaintiff's Immate Grievances, but Institution Grievance Coordinator, ann Marie Aucion, has also railed to act where she had a duty to act to compensate plaintiff for the injuries he sustained from the assault and to genedy the violations. Remedy the violations. FURTHERMORE, ALL OF the foregoing deprivations of plaintiffs rights, privileges, and immunities, reinforced

by Institution Grievance Coordinator, Ann Marie Aucion, are pursuant to mass. D.O.C. ILLEGAL PUNITIVE PROGRAM policies on the unitar treatment of Prisoners.

Dated:

JUNE 16, 2007.

Respectfully Submitted,

Felipe OTEZE FAIOLKES#WOHDOD

SOUZA-BARANOWSKI CAR.CTR.

P.O. BOX 8000

Shirley, MA 01464

DECLARATION IN LIEU OF NOTARY: PURSUANT to Title 28 USCA Sec. 1746:

I'I SWEAR UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING DEFINITE STATEMENT ON EACH DEFENDANT IS TRUE AND CORRECT."

EXECUTED ON: JUNE 16, 2007.

Signature: Stelipe office forthers FELIPE OTEZE FOULKES PLAINTIFF, PROSE,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the Plaintiff, Felipe OTEZE FOWLKES, PRO Se, do hereby certify that I have caused a true copy of the foregoing "definite Statement on Each Defendant" to be served by Prefaid First class mail on the defendants attorney, Daryl F. Glazer, D.O.C. Legal Division, To Franklin Street, Suite 600, Boston, MA 02110.

Dated: June 16, 2007.

Telipe () lex Thulkes Felipe OTEZE FOULKES#W8420 SBCC: P.O. BOX 8000 Shirley, MA 01464